

# Economic implications of intellectual disability in childhood: a trial-based evaluation

Sheena Arora

Doctor of Philosophy, Health Economics

2017

## **Certificate of original authorship**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 25/06/2018

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

## **Acknowledgements**

There are many people who have helped make this thesis possible. First, I could not have done this without the guidance of my supervisors. I am grateful to Stephen for encouraging me take the PhD plunge, for his pragmatism and most, for his daily support. Rosalie, for helping me to think of new ways to solve a problem, for her bigger picture perspective and also for her constant support. Stewart, for sharing with me his clinical knowledge and bringing me into the world of childhood disability. Knowing my supervisors always ‘had my back’ and supported me made completing this thesis possible.

There were a great number of people involved in the MHYPEDD project that formed the basis of this thesis. I would like to acknowledge the National Health and Medical Research Council for funding this project and the Chief Investigators of the project Prof. Stewart Einfeld, Prof. Matthew Sanders and Prof. Bruce Tonge for allowing me the opportunity to work on such an important project. I would also like to thank each of the three research teams in Queensland, Victoria and New South Wales for helping with data collection and answering my innumerable questions. Particular thanks to Julie Hodges in Queensland, Rebecca Wilson and Ross Borland in Victoria, and of course Kristina Clarke and Sian Horstead in New South Wales who have both been incredibly generous with their help and time. Finally, this work would not be possible without all the families that participated in this project.

I am grateful to have been able to be a part of the CHERE team for the past six years and feel very lucky to have been able to do my PhD here. The CHERE team is full of knowledge, enthusiasm and a willingness to help. There have been many people who have helped me with working through various issues, provided helpful suggestions and been there for chats and laughs. Thanks, in particular to Liz for her Endnote assistance, to Kathleen for her formatting help, to Jody for being a great roommate and to Paula for her guidance and paving the way. Thank you also to Prof. Denzil Fiebig, who allowed me to attend his econometrics course at the University of New South Wales and for his time spent providing me with econometrics help.

I would like to acknowledge the financial support that I have received from the Research Training Program Stipend Scholarship (formerly Australian Postgraduate

Award) and from CHERE for my PhD research. Thank you also to CHERE, the UTS Business School, and the UTS Vice-Chancellors Fund for providing funding for the conferences I attended during my candidature, all of which I am thankful for.

This has been a long journey, and I could not have done it without the help of my family. My in-laws, John and Gill have provided endless babysitting services and have been happy to help with all manner of things whenever we needed it. My parents Rajesh and Neerja, are always willing to go the extra mile and beyond. I don't know how many times they have babysat at short notice, cooked us meals and housed us. To Simon for always listening and his unconditional support. Over the course of this PhD I have also been lucky enough to welcome two children into our family. For one, the adventure is only just about to begin and with Jasmine, the adventure is hilarious, fun and inspiring.

## Table of contents

<b>Certificate of original authorship .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Table of contents .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of figures .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of tables.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of abbreviations .....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>Chapter 1: Background .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview .....	1
1.2 Intellectual disability .....	2
1.2.1 Background .....	2
1.2.2 Policy environment .....	6
1.2.3 Economic costs associated with intellectual disability .....	9
1.3 The economic relevance of early intervention parenting programs .....	11
1.4 The Heckman Equation .....	12
1.5 Economic framework; economic evaluation .....	14
1.6 Overview of research components .....	17
<b>Chapter 2: Stepping Stones Triple P and the evaluation framework .....</b>	<b>20</b>
2.1 Chapter outline .....	20
2.2 Triple P Program and Stepping Stones Triple P Program .....	20
2.2.1 Triple P Program: theoretical basis and development.....	20
2.2.2 Stepping Stones Triple P Program: theoretical basis and development....	22
2.2.3 Triple P program: evidence base.....	24
2.2.4 Stepping Stones Triple P Program: evidence base.....	26
2.2.5 Triple P program: economic evidence .....	27
2.2.6 Stepping Stones Triple P Program: economic evidence .....	28
2.3 Stepping Stones Triple P trial-based evaluation.....	28
2.3.1 Overview of Phase 1 .....	31
2.3.2 Overview of Phase 2: Stepping Stones Triple P roll-out .....	32
2.3.2.1 <i>Program set-up and implementation</i> .....	34
2.3.2.2 <i>Population</i> .....	35
2.3.2.3 <i>Intervention</i> .....	36
2.3.2.4 <i>Outcomes</i> .....	37

2.3.2.5	<i>Program evaluation</i> .....	38
2.3.3	Overall summary of trial design.....	39
2.3.4	Challenges arising from the evolution of the trial design .....	42
2.3.4.1	<i>Phase 1</i> .....	42
2.3.4.2	<i>Phase 2; inclusion criteria</i> .....	43
2.3.4.3	<i>Phase 2; study design</i> .....	45
2.3.4.4	<i>Phase 2; study design changes in NSW</i> .....	45
2.4	Ethics .....	46
2.5	Conclusion.....	47
<b>Chapter 3: Health-related quality of life of caregivers of a child with intellectual disability .....</b>		<b>51</b>
	Abstract .....	51
3.1	Introduction .....	53
3.1.1	Literature review .....	56
3.1.2	Modelling health-related quality of life .....	59
3.1.2.1	<i>Endogeneity; omitted variable bias</i> .....	59
3.1.2.2	<i>Endogeneity; reverse causality and simultaneity</i> .....	61
3.2	Methods.....	63
3.2.1	Recruitment and data collection.....	63
3.2.2	Base case: measures .....	64
3.2.2.1	<i>Caregiver HRQoL</i> .....	64
3.2.2.2	<i>Child behavioural and emotional problems</i> .....	66
3.2.2.3	<i>Control variables</i> .....	68
3.2.3	Base case: model specification.....	70
3.2.4	Extended specification 1 (confounders): measures.....	72
3.2.5	Extended specification 1 (confounders): model specification .....	73
3.2.6	Extended specification 2 (panel model): measures.....	74
3.2.7	Extended specification 2 (panel model): model specification .....	74
3.2.8	Extended specification 3: instrumental variable analysis .....	75
3.2.9	Domain analysis: model specification .....	76
3.3	Results .....	77
3.3.1	Sample characteristics.....	77
3.3.2	HRQoL.....	80
3.3.3	Univariate analyses .....	81
3.3.4	Base case: multivariate analyses .....	83
3.3.5	Extended specification 1 (omitted variable bias): multivariate analysis...85	
3.3.6	Extended specification 2 (panel model).....	88

3.3.7	Domain analysis: multivariate analysis.....	88
3.4	Discussion .....	91
<b>Chapter 4: Valuation of informal care in caregivers of children with intellectual disability .....</b>		<b>97</b>
	Abstract .....	97
4.1	Introduction .....	98
4.1.1	Revealed preference methodology .....	100
4.1.2	Stated preference methodology .....	102
4.2	Methods .....	105
4.2.1	Development of the discrete choice experiment .....	105
4.2.2	Attributes and levels .....	106
4.2.3	Experimental design: choice question format .....	108
4.2.4	Experimental design: analysis requirements .....	112
4.2.5	Statistical analysis: econometric model .....	113
4.2.6	Statistical analysis: model specification .....	115
4.2.7	Statistical analysis: additional analyses .....	117
4.2.8	Recruitment and data collection .....	118
4.3	Results .....	118
4.3.1	Sample characteristics .....	118
4.3.2	DCE results .....	120
4.3.3	Model estimation .....	120
4.3.3.1	<i>Additional analyses</i> .....	123
4.4	Discussion .....	125
<b>Chapter 5: Using a time-use diary to measure the time spent providing informal care to children with intellectual disability and the impact of Stepping Stones Triple P .....</b>		<b>130</b>
	Abstract .....	130
5.1	Introduction .....	132
5.2	Methods .....	134
5.2.1	Recruitment and data collection .....	134
5.2.2	Development of the time-use diary .....	136
5.2.3	The informal care recall questionnaire .....	140
5.2.4	Analysis .....	140
5.3	Results .....	144
5.3.1	Participant flow .....	144
5.3.2	Sample characteristics .....	145
5.3.3	Time-use .....	147

5.3.3.1	<i>Descriptive results; time-use</i> .....	147
5.3.3.2	<i>Impact of the intervention</i> .....	148
5.3.3.3	<i>Descriptive results; costs</i> .....	151
5.3.3.4	<i>Multivariate results (impact of the intervention); time-use</i> .....	152
5.3.3.5	<i>Multivariate results (impact of the intervention); costs</i> .....	153
5.4	Discussion .....	154
<b>Chapter 6: Costs associated with childhood intellectual disability and the impact of Stepping Stones Triple P .....</b>		<b>158</b>
	Abstract .....	158
6.1	Introduction .....	160
6.2	Development of a conceptual model .....	162
6.2.1	Literature review .....	162
6.2.2	Conceptual model .....	167
6.3	Method of data collection .....	168
6.3.1	Overview .....	168
6.3.2	Administrative data .....	169
6.3.3	Retrospective questionnaire .....	172
6.3.3.1	<i>The Client Services Receipt Inventory</i> .....	172
6.3.3.2	<i>Retrospective questionnaire design</i> .....	173
6.3.4	Time-use .....	175
6.4	Questionnaire derived utilisation and costs .....	175
6.4.1	Methods .....	175
6.4.1.1	<i>Recruitment and data collection</i> .....	175
6.4.1.2	<i>Costing</i> .....	177
6.4.1.3	<i>Analysis</i> .....	182
6.4.2	Results .....	184
6.4.2.1	<i>Sample characteristics</i> .....	186
6.4.2.2	<i>Productivity losses</i> .....	188
6.4.2.3	<i>Resource use and costs</i> .....	191
6.5	Healthcare utilisation and costs based on administrative data .....	195
6.5.1	Methods .....	195
6.5.1.1	<i>Recruitment and data collection</i> .....	195
6.5.1.2	<i>Data</i> .....	196
6.5.1.3	<i>Analysis</i> .....	196
6.5.2	Results .....	200
6.5.2.1	<i>Sample characteristics</i> .....	202
6.5.2.2	<i>MBS analysis; child utilisation</i> .....	203



6.5.2.3	<i>MBS analysis; caregiver utilisation</i>	206
6.5.2.4	<i>PBS analysis; child utilisation</i>	208
6.5.2.5	<i>PBS analysis; caregiver utilisation</i>	210
6.6	Total cost of childhood intellectual disability	212
6.6.1	Methods	212
6.6.2	Results	213
6.7	Discussion	214
6.7.1	Productivity losses	214
6.7.2	Resource use and costs	215
6.7.3	Cost of childhood intellectual disability	219
6.7.4	Overall	221
<b>Chapter 7: Economic evaluation of Stepping Stones Triple P</b>		<b>223</b>
	Abstract	223
7.1	Introduction	224
7.2	Identification, measurement and valuation of outcomes	227
7.2.1	Data	227
7.2.2	Methods	229
7.2.2.1	<i>Recruitment and data collection</i>	229
7.2.2.2	<i>Analysis</i>	231
7.2.3	Results	232
7.2.3.1	<i>Descriptive results</i>	232
7.2.3.2	<i>Multivariate results</i>	233
7.3	Identification, measurement and valuation of costs	234
7.3.1	Methods	234
7.3.1.1	<i>Establishment costs</i>	236
7.3.1.2	<i>Delivery costs</i>	239
7.3.2	Results	243
7.3.2.1	<i>Establishment costs</i>	243
7.3.2.2	<i>Delivery costs</i>	245
7.4	Cost-consequence analysis	250
7.4.1	Methods	250
7.4.2	Results	251
7.4.2.1	<i>Base case</i>	251
7.4.2.2	<i>Sensitivity analyses</i>	252
7.5	Discussion	253
<b>Chapter 8: Discussion</b>		<b>261</b>

8.1	Including caregiver effects in economic evaluations in children with intellectual disability .....	261
8.2	The economic implications of childhood intellectual disability.....	264
8.3	The cost-effectiveness of Stepping Stones Triple P .....	265
8.4	Limitations and challenges .....	268
8.5	Future work .....	270
8.6	Concluding remarks .....	271
<b>Appendices .....</b>		<b>273</b>
	Appendix A Literature review search strategy .....	273
	Appendix B Results of the sensitivity analyses using alternate strategies for combining the DBC-P and DBC-U4 .....	274
	Appendix C Results of the sensitivity analyses using alternate measures for behavioural problems .....	276
	Appendix D Results of base case interaction analyses.....	278
	Appendix E Base case model: post-estimation tests .....	282
	Appendix F Results of extended specification 3: instrumental variable analyses	287
	Appendix G NGene code for DCE experimental design.....	291
	Appendix H DCE correlation matrix.....	291
	Appendix I Health service costs by service type .....	292
	Appendix J Questionnaire administered to participants in the 900 survey.	296
<b>References .....</b>		<b>312</b>

## List of figures

Figure 1.1 Relationship between child behaviour and parental psychological distress ....	5
Figure 1.2: Return on investment for each dollar invested at different ages .....	14
Figure 2.1 Populations of interest in the evaluation.....	33
Figure 2.2 Trial design of the individual/family effectiveness evaluation in Queensland and Victoria.....	34
Figure 2.3 Trial design, Queensland and Victoria .....	40
Figure 2.4 Trial design, New South Wales .....	41
Figure 2.5 Phase 1 and Phase 2 populations of the study .....	43
Figure 3.1 Diagrammatic depiction of the role of confounders .....	59
Figure 3.2 EQ-5D-5L score of caregivers by dependent child's comorbid diagnostic group .....	81
Figure 4.1 Stages of designing a DCE .....	105
Figure 4.2 DCE introductory description.....	111
Figure 4.3 Example of a choice task seen by respondents.....	112
Figure 4.4 Willingness to accept for different care types (Model 3) .....	122
Figure 5.1 Time-use diary instructions and example .....	138
Figure 5.2 A portion of the time-use diary provided to participants.....	139
Figure 5.3 Participant flow for time-use diary completion .....	144
Figure 6.1: Domains of care, children with disabilities .....	168
Figure 6.2: Domains of care, method of data collection .....	169
Figure 6.3: Participant flow for questionnaire completion .....	185
Figure 6.4 Graphical representation of regression output.....	199
Figure 6.5: Participant flow for Medicare data .....	201
Figure 7.1: Steps in measuring SSTP establishment costs.....	236
Figure 7.2 Steps in measuring SSTP ongoing costs.....	240
Figure E.1 Scatter plot of time spent caring and EQ-5D-5L score .....	282
Figure E.2 Scatter plot of time spent caring and behavioural problems .....	282
Figure E.3 Kernel density plot HRQoL base case analysis .....	285

## List of tables

Table 2.1 Summary of the levels of the Triple P program.....	22
Table 2.2 Published meta-analyses of Triple P .....	25
Table 2.3 Published evaluations of SSTP, parent report of child behaviour .....	26
Table 2.4 Phase 2 eligibility criteria .....	36
Table 2.5 Data availability at each time-point in each state .....	48
Table 2.6 Research questions and data sources .....	49
Table 2.7 Primary responsibility for different components of each research question...	50
Table 3.1 Utility values used in the algorithm for the total EQ-5D-5L score (Norman <i>et al.</i> , 2013) .....	66
Table 3.2 Demographic characteristics of caregivers, HRQoL analysis .....	77
Table 3.3 Other characteristics of caregivers, HRQoL analysis .....	79
Table 3.4 Demographic characteristics of dependent child, HRQoL analysis .....	79
Table 3.5 Behavioural problems in dependent child, HRQoL analysis.....	80
Table 3.6 Percentage of respondents in each EQ-5D-5L category, across all domains..	81
Table 3.7 Results of univariate analyses; demographic characteristics of caregivers, HRQoL analysis .....	82
Table 3.8 Results of univariate analyses; other characteristics of caregivers, HRQoL analysis.....	83
Table 3.9 Results of univariate analyses; demographic characteristics of dependent child, HRQoL analysis .....	83
Table 3.10 HRQoL - results of base case multivariate analysis .....	84
Table 3.11 HRQoL - results of multivariate analyses; extended specification (potential confounders).....	86
Table 3.12 HRQoL - results of the random effects model for extended specification 2	88
Table 3.13 HRQoL - results of multivariate domain analyses.....	90
Table 4.1 Attributes and levels included in the DCE.....	108
Table 4.2 Demographic characteristics of caregivers, DCE analysis .....	119
Table 4.3 Demographic characteristics of dependent child, DCE analysis .....	119
Table 4.4 DCE model estimates.....	122
Table 4.5 Willingness to accept for an additional hour of care assistance, per type of care .....	122
Table 4.6 DCE model estimates; interaction analysis.....	123
Table 4.7 Selection of number of classes in the DCE latent class model .....	125
Table 4.8 DCE latent class model estimates (Model 8) .....	125

Table 5.1 Selected sample characteristics of caregivers of a child with ID in the analytic sample and those that responded to the time-use diary .....	146
Table 5.2 Selected sample characteristics of dependent children with ID in the analytic sample and those that responded to the time-use diary .....	147
Table 5.3 Daily time spent caring due to their child's disability by caregivers of a child with an ID, using a time-use diary and recall questionnaire .....	150
Table 5.4 Time-use of caregivers of a child with ID in the previous day using the time-use diary (unmodified) .....	150
Table 5.5 Comparison of daily cost of informal care using different informal care valuation methods .....	151
Table 5.6 Comparison of monthly cost of informal care using different informal care valuation methods .....	152
Table 5.7 Random effects regression analysis of pre-post change in time spent providing informal care as a result of dependent child's disability in the previous month using the time-use diary (unmodified).....	152
Table 5.8 Random effects regression analysis of pre-post change in cost per day as a result of time spent providing informal care due to the dependent child's disability per individual in the previous month using the time-use diary (unmodified).....	153
Table 6.1 MBS and PBS data items requested from the Australian Government Department of Human Services .....	172
Table 6.2 Unit costs and their sources relating to services used by children with ID ..	179
Table 6.3 Unit costs and their sources relating to services used by caregivers of children with ID .....	181
Table 6.4 Selected sample characteristics of caregivers in the baseline and analytic samples.....	187
Table 6.5 Selected sample characteristics of the dependent child with ID in the baseline and analytic samples .....	188
Table 6.6 Employment status of caregivers of a child with ID at baseline.....	190
Table 6.7 Productivity loss due to reduced working hours in the previous month of caregivers of a child with ID at baseline and post-intervention.....	190
Table 6.8 Productivity loss due to paid and unpaid absences in the previous month of caregivers of a child with ID at baseline and post-intervention.....	190
Table 6.9 Random effects regression analysis of pre-post change in productivity losses per individual in the previous month .....	191
Table 6.10 Health service utilisation of children with ID in the previous month, at baseline and post-intervention .....	193
Table 6.11 Total health service costs of children with ID in the previous month at baseline and post-intervention .....	193
Table 6.12 Service utilisation of caregivers of a child with ID in the previous month, at baseline and post-intervention .....	194

Table 6.13 Total health service costs of caregivers of a child with ID in the previous month at baseline and post-intervention .....	194
Table 6.14 Random effects regression analysis of pre-post change in child health service costs per individual in the previous month .....	195
Table 6.15 Random effects regression analysis of pre-post change in caregiver health service costs per individual in the previous month .....	195
Table 6.16 Selected MBS groups for MBS data analysis .....	198
Table 6.17 Selected PBS ATC codes for PBS data analysis.....	198
Table 6.18 Selected sample characteristics of caregivers in the baseline and analytic samples.....	202
Table 6.19 Selected sample characteristics of the dependent child with ID in the baseline and analytic samples .....	203
Table 6.20 Average number of MBS services per child per month.....	204
Table 6.21 Average total cost of MBS items per child per month.....	204
Table 6.22 Random effects regression analysis of total MBS services per child per month .....	205
Table 6.23 Random effects regression analysis of selected MBS services per child per month .....	205
Table 6.24 Average number of MBS services per caregiver per month.....	207
Table 6.25 Average total cost of MBS items per caregiver per month.....	207
Table 6.26 Random effects regression analysis of total MBS services per caregiver per month .....	207
Table 6.27 Average number of PBS prescriptions per child per month .....	208
Table 6.28 Average total cost of PBS prescriptions per child per month .....	209
Table 6.29 Random effects regression analysis of total PBS prescriptions per child per month .....	209
Table 6.30 Random effects regression analysis of selected PBS prescriptions per child per month .....	210
Table 6.31 Average number of PBS prescriptions per caregiver per month .....	210
Table 6.32 Average total cost of PBS prescriptions per caregiver per month .....	211
Table 6.33 Random effects regression analysis of total PBS prescriptions per caregiver per month .....	211
Table 6.34 Cost per month of ID per child per cost category .....	213
Table 6.35 Total cost of childhood ID (DCE method of informal care valuation).....	214
Table 6.36 Total cost of childhood ID (proxy good method of informal care valuation) .....	214
Table 7.1 Types of economic evaluations and methods of measurement and valuation of outcomes/costs .....	226

Table 7.2 Outcomes reported in the trial, at baseline and post-intervention.....	233
Table 7.3 Random effects regression analysis of pre-post change in benefits per individual .....	234
Table 7.4 Method of data collection for the identification of appropriate service providers, by activity and region.....	238
Table 7.5 Method of data collection for the selection of practitioners, by activity and region.....	238
Table 7.6 Method of data collection for the delivery of practitioner training and accreditation, by activity and region .....	239
Table 7.7 Method of data collection for media and promotion activities, by activity and region.....	241
Table 7.8 Method of data collection for practitioner support, by activity and region ..	242
Table 7.9 Method of data collection for intervention delivery, by activity and region	242
Table 7.10 Costs associated with the identification of appropriate service providers, by activity and region.....	243
Table 7.11 Costs associated with the selection of practitioners, by activity and region .....	243
Table 7.12 Costs associated with the delivery of practitioner training and accreditation, by activity and region.....	244
Table 7.13 Costs to practitioners associated with attending practitioner training and accreditation, by activity and region .....	244
Table 7.14 Costs associated with media and promotion activities, by activity; Victoria .....	246
Table 7.15 Costs associated with media and promotion activities, by activity; NSW Standard Support.....	246
Table 7.16 Costs associated with media and promotion activities, by activity; NSW High Support .....	247
Table 7.17 Costs associated with practitioner support, by activity and region.....	247
Table 7.18 Costs associated with intervention delivery, per family, by activity and region.....	248
Table 7.19 Summary of establishment and ongoing costs; total and per family cost, by region.....	249
Table 7.20 Cost-consequence balance sheet for SSTP per family in Queensland/Victoria .....	251
Table 7.21 Cost-consequence balance sheet for SSTP per family in NSW .....	252
Table 7.22 Per family cost of SSTP; sensitivity analyses .....	253
Table 7.23 Net cost of SSTP per family in the first year; sensitivity analyses .....	253

Table B.1 HRQoL - results of sensitivity analysis 1: base case model using a transformation of DBC-U4 (recode 3 to 2) .....	274
Table B.2 HRQoL - Results of sensitivity analysis 2: base case model using a linear transformation of DBC-U4 and DBC-P onto a 1-100 scale.....	275
Table C.1 HRQoL - results of sensitivity analysis 3: Base case model using a binary variable to identify cases of behavioural disorder.....	276
Table C.2 HRQoL - results of sensitivity analysis 4: Base case model using percentile rankings of distribution within a normative population of children with ID .....	277
Table D.1 HRQoL - results of base case model including gender interacted with paid work .....	278
Table D.2 HRQoL - results of base case model including gender interacted with economic hardship .....	279
Table D.3 HRQoL - results of base case model including gender interacted with social support.....	280
Table D.4 HRQoL - results of base case model including gender interacted with behavioural problems .....	281
Table E.1 Correlation matrix HRQoL base case model.....	283
Table E.2 Variance inflation factors in HRQoL base case model .....	284
Table E.3 Link test HRQoL base case model .....	286
Table F.1 Results of instrumental variable analysis, bullying behaviour as the instrument.....	287
Table F.2 Results of association between bullying behaviour and behavioural problems .....	288
Table F.3 Results of instrumental variable analysis, bully-victim as the instrument ...	289
Table F.4 Results of association between bullying behaviour and behavioural problems .....	290
Table I.1 Health service costs borne by government relating to children with ID in the previous month, by service type.....	292
Table I.2 Health service costs borne by individuals/families relating to children with ID in the previous month, by service type.....	292
Table I.3 Health service costs borne by society relating to children with ID in the previous month, by service type.....	293
Table I.4 Total health service costs relating to children with ID in the previous month, by service type.....	293
Table I.5 Health service costs borne by government relating to caregivers of a child with ID in the previous month, by service type .....	294
Table I.6 Health service costs borne by individuals/families relating to caregivers of a child with ID in the previous month, by service type .....	294
Table I.7 Health service costs borne by society relating to caregivers of a child with ID in the previous month, by service type.....	294



Table I.8 Total health service costs relating to caregivers of a child with ID in the previous month, by service type.....	295
--	-----

## List of abbreviations

2SLS	two-stage least squares
ADHD	attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder
AIC	Akaike information criterion
ASC	alternative specific constant
ASD	autism spectrum disorder
ATC	anatomical therapeutical chemical
AUD	Australian dollar
BIC	Bayesian information criterion
CADTH	Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health
CAPES	Child Adjustment and Parent Efficacy Scale
CBA	cost-benefit analysis
CBCL	Child Behaviour Checklist
CCA	cost-consequence analysis
CEA	cost-effectiveness analysis
CHERE	Centre for Health Economics Research and Evaluation
CI	confidence interval
CLAD	censored least absolute deviations model
CSRI	Client Services Receipt Inventory
CSRI-C	Client Services Receipt Inventory for Childhood
CUA	cost-utility analysis
CV	contingent valuation
DASS21	Depression Anxiety Stress Scale 21
DBC	Developmental Behaviour Checklist
DBC-P	Developmental Behaviour Checklist - Parent Version
DBC-P24	Developmental Behaviour Checklist - Parent Version Short Form
DBC-U4	Developmental Behaviour Checklist - Under 4
DCE	discrete choice experiment

DD	developmental disability
ECBI	Eyberg Child Behaviour Inventory
GMNL	generalised multinomial logit
GP	general practitioner
HREC	Human Research Ethics Committee
HRQoL	health-related quality of life
HTA	health technology assessment
HUI	Health Utilities Index
ID	intellectual disability
IIA	independence of irrelevant alternatives
IID	identically and independently distributed
IQ	intellectual quotient
IRR	incidence rate ratio
IV	instrumental variable
LSAC	Longitudinal Study of Australian Children
MAUI	multi-attribute utility instrument
MBS	Medicare Benefits Schedule
MNL	multinomial logit
MSAC	Medical Services Advisory Committee
MXL	mixed logit
NDA	National Disability Agreement
NDIS	National Disability Insurance Scheme
NICE	National Institute for Health Care Excellence
NR	not reported
NSW	New South Wales
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
OLS	ordinary least squares
PAFAS	Parent and Family Adjustment Scale

PBAC	Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee
PBS	Pharmaceutical Benefits Schedule
PDD-NOS	Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified
PDR	Parent Daily Report
PPC	Parent Problem Checklist
PS	Parenting Scale
PSOC	Parenting Sense of Competency Scale
QALY	quality adjusted life year
QLD	Queensland
RCT	randomised controlled trial
RUM	random utility model
SDQ	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire
SE	standard error
SF-36	36-Item Short Form Health Survey
SMC	Scottish Medicines Consortium
SSTP	Stepping Stones Triple P
TBPS	Total Behaviour Problem Score
TPI	Triple P International
US	United States
UTS	University of Technology Sydney
VIC	Victoria
WHO	World Health Organisation
WTA	willingness to accept
WTP	willingness to pay

## **Abstract**

Childhood intellectual disability (ID) has a significant impact on individuals, families, society and the healthcare system. This thesis explores the economic implications of an early behavioural intervention designed to lessen these impacts, Stepping Stones Triple P (SSTP). Innovative methods are used to contribute the body of knowledge that can guide economic evaluations in this population. Particular attention is given to the inclusion of caregiver effects in economic evaluations.

SSTP is a parenting program that is designed for families of children that have a disability. It adopts a public health approach and aims to prevent and treat behavioural and emotional problems in children. It is a multilevel program with varying levels of intensity available depending on the needs of the child and the family. Data collected alongside a trial evaluating the public health roll-out of SSTP were utilised throughout this thesis. An overview of SSTP and the trial evaluating SSTP are described in Chapter 2.

This thesis comprises five related studies. The first study, presented in Chapter 3, describes the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of caregivers who care for a child with ID. This study demonstrated that caregivers have a lower HRQoL than the age-equivalent general population. This study provides utility values of caregivers of children with ID across five comorbid disability groups (autism spectrum disorders, genetic disabilities, physical disabilities, sensory disabilities and language disabilities) that can be used to inform comparative cost-effectiveness analyses.

In Chapter 4 a discrete choice experiment was developed to estimate a monetary value of informal care tasks provided by caregivers of children with ID. The values generated by this methodology were lower than would be expected using traditional approaches, and may reflect the satisfaction and pleasure derived by an individual from providing care for a family member. The values generated in this study can be used directly in economic evaluations to estimate the cost of informal care

Chapter 5 compares the use of a time-use diary and recall questionnaire to measure the time spent providing informal care. The results demonstrated the considerable caregiver burden of childhood ID, with an average of five to six hours of care provided due to the child's disability per day. Analysis of the two methodologies showed that the recall

questionnaire overestimated the time spent providing informal care, which may be a result of recall bias, an inability to adjust for joint production or double counting of normal caregiver tasks. This chapter highlighted that the methods chosen to measure informal care can have important implications when considering the relative costs and benefits of competing interventions.

Chapter 6 presents analyses which measure the costs associated with caring for a child with ID. Trial-based data and linked administrative data were used to measure medical service use and pharmaceutical use in children and caregivers, and the productivity of caregivers. Estimates from Chapters 5 and 6 were used to calculate the total societal cost of childhood ID. The total cost to society of childhood ID was estimated to be between \$9.126 billion and \$14.159 billion per year. The cost of time spent caregiving accounts for the largest proportion of the total cost.

The final component of this research, presents the results of a cost-consequence analysis of SSTP (Chapter 7). The costs associated with implementing SSTP are compared to benefits derived from SSTP and other cost savings estimated in the preceding chapters. The cost of SSTP ranged from \$2,661-\$10,800 per family depending on the level of intervention delivered and method of implementation. Cost savings realised included productivity gains due to a lower frequency of caregiver absenteeism and reduced costs of informal care doing household errands. SSTP also resulted in an increase in costs to government, families and society due to increased service use by caregivers. Other benefits were also realised, including a reduction in child behavioural and emotional problems, improvements in parenting practices, improvements in family practices and reduced caregiver stress. The findings show that SSTP can represent excellent value for money, improving outcomes at low cost.

This thesis demonstrates the considerable burden associated with ID and the need for evidence-based interventions that can improve outcomes for this group. It demonstrates the significant role provided by caregivers and provides innovative methods for measuring and valuing informal care that can be applied to economic evaluations of complex interventions.